UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/406,844	09/406,844 09/29/1999		IN TAE HWANG	CIT/K-091	1994
34610	7590	07/14/2006		EXAM	INER
FLESHNE		, LLP	TRAN, PABLO N		
P.O. BOX 221200 CHANTILLY, VA 20153				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
C, , ,				2618	

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/406,844	HWANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Pablo N. Tran	2618	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 10 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires  $\underline{3}$  months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_ a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. \_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔲 will not be entered, or b) 🛛 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-44. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. \times The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. 🗹 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). oン u 🗤 🗤 13. 
Other:

> PABLO N. TRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Part of Paper No. 20060625

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant stated that, "Widegren fails to disclose or teach all the claimed limitations of independent claim 1. In response to the Applicant, the Applicant needs to state explicitly which limitation Widegren fails to teach instead of recited claim 1. Moreover, the Applicant stated that, "Widegren fails to teach the method of mapping between logical channel and transport channel as stated in claim 1", In response to the Applicant, the claimed limitation for which the Applicant relied upon is not recite in claim 1. Therefore, the argument is not persuasive. Regarding claim 18, the Applicant stated that, "Widegren fails to teach the method comprising mapping betwenn logical channels and transport channels, wherein the mapping is a function of a media access layer". In response to the Applicant, Widegren's communication system teach that the radio link layer which maybe divided into two layers: a logical link layer and a radio link control (RLC)/media access control (MAC) layer (see fig. 7). Widegren stated various radio access bearers may be mapped at the logical link layer and/or RLC/MAC layers (see col. 13/ln. 45-47). Widegren further stated that the mapping of radio access bearers onto physical transport channels is based on one or more parameters including quality of services and/or current traffic condition (see abstract, col. 6/ln. 8-21).